# Homocysteine, the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase 677C>T polymorphism and hypertension: effect modifiers by lifestyle factors and population subgroups

Gemma Ornosa-Martín<sup>1</sup>, Joan D. Fernandez-Ballart<sup>1</sup>, Santiago Ceruelo<sup>2</sup>, Lídia Ríos<sup>3</sup>, Per M. Ueland<sup>4</sup>, Klaus Meyer<sup>4</sup> and Michelle M. Murphy<sup>1</sup>\*

<sup>1</sup>Area of Preventive Medicine and Public Health, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Reus, Universitat Rovira i Virgili, IISPV and CIBERobn (CB06/03) Instituto de Salud Carlos III, Spain

<sup>2</sup>Area of Family and Community Medicine, Centre d'Atenció Primària (CAP) El Morell, Institut Català de la Salut, 43760 El Morell, Tarragona, Spain

<sup>3</sup>Area of Family and Community Medicine, Hospital Lleuger Antoni de Gimbernat de Cambrils, Grup SAGESSA, 43850 Cambrils, Spain

<sup>4</sup>Bevital A/S, 5021 Bergen, Norway

(Submitted 14 August 2019 - Final revision received 24 January 2020 - Accepted 25 February 2020 - First published online 4 March 2020)

#### Abstract

Evidence linking fasting plasma total homocysteine (tHcy) and methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (*MTHFR*) 677C>T genotype with hypertension is inconsistent. Differences in B vitamin status, other lifestyle factors or their consideration in analyses might explain this. We investigated these associations in the absence of mandatory fortification with folic acid and B vitamin supplement use. A cross-sectional study was conducted in 788 adults, aged 18–75 years, randomly selected from three Catalonian town population registers. Fasting plasma folate, cobalamin, tHcy, erythrocyte folate, erythrocyte glutathione reductase activation coefficient (EGRAC, functional riboflavin status indicator; increasing EGRAC indicates worsening riboflavin status), *MTHFR* 677C>T and solute carrier family 1 (*SLC19A1*) 80 G>A genotypes were determined. Medical history and lifestyle habits were recorded. Principal tHcy determinants differed between women (age, plasma folate, plasma cobalamin, cigarettes/d) and men (*MTHFR* 677TT genotype, plasma folate, plasma cobalamin and CT genotype). The *MTHFR* 677C>T polymorphism–tHcy association ( $\beta$  standardised regression coefficients) was stronger in male smokers (0·52, *P* < 0·001) compared with non-smokers (0·21, *P* = 0·001) and weaker in participants aged >50 years (0·19, *P* = 0·007) compared with ≤50 years (0·31, *P* < 0·001). Hypertension was more probable in the third tHcy tertile compared with other tertiles (OR 1·9; 95 % CI 1·0, 3·0), and in participants aged ≤50 years, for the *MTHFR* 677CT genotype were associated with hypertension. The *MTHFR* 677C>T genotype–hypertension association was confined to adults aged ≤50 years.

#### Key words: Homocysteine: Methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase 677C>T polymorphism: B vitamins: Hypertension

Hypertension affects one in five adults and is a major contributor to mortality and morbidity worldwide<sup>(1,2)</sup>. The associated healthcare costs are considerable and projected to increase with the current situation of expanding longevity and morbidity in the global population. The current associated socio-economic burden is unsustainable going forward. Public health strategies addressed at lifestyle modification to reduce smoking, salt intake and obesity have proven to be successful at the population level<sup>(3)</sup> and provide solid grounds for continuing to develop primary and secondary prevention strategies. Established causes of hypertension include genetic factors, sex, age, dietary factors, abdominal obesity, sedentarism, smoking and alcohol consumption. However, 90 % of hypertension cases are idiopathic<sup>(4)</sup>. The identification of candidate nutrient–gene interactions and novel associated biomarkers are of interest in identifying risk sub-groups to inform new lifestyle prevention and screening protocols going forward. The one-carbon metabolic network has received some attention in this regard.

Abbreviations: EGRAC, erythrocyte glutathione reductase activation coefficient; MTHFR, methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase; SLC19A1, solute carrier family 1; tHcy, total homocysteine.

\* Corresponding author: Dr Michelle M. Murphy, fax +34 977 759322, email michelle.murphy@urv.cat

70

NS British Journal of Nutrition

Hyperhomocysteinaemia has been proposed to be causally linked with hypertension through various physiopathological mechanisms<sup>(5-7)</sup>. However, evidence linking moderately elevated fasting plasma total homocysteine (tHcy) with hypertension is inconsistent. It has been positively associated with hypertension prevalence in men and women<sup>(7-9)</sup> and with incident hypertension in follow-up cohort studies<sup>(10,11)</sup>. One of these reported a U-shaped relationship<sup>(11)</sup>. However, other studies reported an association with hypertension risk in men only<sup>(12)</sup> or in women only<sup>(13)</sup> or that any association between baseline tHcy in healthy participants and incident hypertension over 4 years was lost on adjustment for multiple factors<sup>(10)</sup>. Furthermore, a Mendelian randomisation study provided no evidence for a causal association between tHcy and blood pressure in young adults<sup>(14)</sup>. Whether causally related to hypertension or not, antihypertensive treatment was less effective in lowering blood pressure in patients with elevated tHcy<sup>(15)</sup>. Studies that successfully achieved homocysteine lowering through intervention have also provided inconsistent evidence regarding its effect on blood pressure, with some reporting no effect (16,17) and others reporting a reducing effect<sup>(18,19)</sup>. Participant characteristics such as baseline folate status and age vary considerably among these studies, and blood pressure is often a secondary outcome measure. Different consideration is given to established contributors to blood pressure such as BMI or weight change during the interventions, lasting up to 2 years among these studies.

The methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) 677C>T polymorphism has been associated with lower folate status and higher tHcy in the homozygote variant compared with the common genotype<sup>(20-22)</sup>, and its inverse association with folate status is enhanced in the presence of the solute carrier family 1 (SLC19A1) 80 G>A polymorphism<sup>(23)</sup>. Both low folate and riboflavin status have been associated with moderately elevated tHcy<sup>(24,25)</sup>, and the riboflavin-tHcy association in the MTHFR 677TT compared with CC genotype is independent of folate status<sup>(26)</sup>. In fact, elevated tHcy has been reported to be limited to people with the combination of TT genotype and poor riboflavin status<sup>(27)</sup> and supplementing them with riboflavin, led to a reduction in tHcy<sup>(28)</sup>. The TT genotype was positively associated with hypertension in case-control Australian<sup>(29)</sup> and Turkish studies<sup>(30)</sup> and in women but not in men in a Japanese population study<sup>(31)</sup>. Diastolic blood pressure was higher in the TT compared with CT and CC genotypes in a Chinese study of patients with essential hypertension<sup>(32)</sup>. This was true in another Chinese study for diastolic blood pressure in hypertensive males and systolic blood pressure in hypertensive females. However, diastolic blood pressure was lower in the TT compared with the CT genotype in hypertensive females<sup>(33)</sup>. On the other hand, no association between the variant MTHFR 677T allele and essential hypertension was observed in children, but a protective effect was observed in adults, in a Mexican-Mestizo case-control study<sup>(34)</sup>.

Supplementing with folic acid combined with vitamins  $B_{12}$  and  $B_6$  for 2 years in a randomised placebo-controlled trial did not affect blood pressure lowering despite lowering tHcy<sup>(35)</sup>. However, riboflavin supplementation did reduce blood pressure in premature cardiovascular patients with the *MTHFR* 677TT genotype<sup>(36)</sup>. While the TT genotype remained a determinant

of blood pressure after 4 years, supplementation was still associated with lower blood pressure<sup>(37)</sup>.

Variations in nutrient–gene or gene–gene interactions, as well as control of confounding factors, may lead to differences in reported effects of tHcy or the *MTHFR* 677C>T polymorphism on blood pressure. European countries differ to the USA, Canada and numerous countries across the globe where fortification of flour with folic acid is mandatory. In fact, addition of ribo-flavin to flour to restore the vitamin lost during milling is also mandatory in the USA and Canada. We hypothesised that moderately elevated tHcy and the *MTHFR* 677C>T polymorphism are associated with hypertension. We set out to investigate whether moderately elevated tHcy and the *MTHFR* 677C>T polymorphism are associated with diagnosed hypertension in a representative population sample of adult women and men unexposed to mandatory fortification with folic acid and non-users of B vitamin supplement use.

# Materials and methods

# Study sample

This cross-sectional study was carried out by the Unitat de Medicina Preventiva i Salut Pública, Universitat Rovira i Virgili between 1998 and 2002 as previously described<sup>(23,26,38)</sup>. Participants aged 18–75 years were randomly selected from a representative sample (stratified by age and sex) from the town hall population registers in three towns (representing inland and coastal regions) in Tarragona province, Southern Catalonia. Exclusion criteria included use of B vitamin supplements or of medication affecting folate metabolism, pregnancy, lactation or having given birth in the last 6 months. The study was approved by the Hospital Universitari Sant Joan (Reus) and by the Fundació Jordi Gol Gorina ethics committees. All participants provided their signed informed consent in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

# Anthropometric, clinical and lifestyle data

Participants attended a medical check-up in which weight, height and blood pressure were measured. Blood pressure was measured by the clinicians using a mercury column sphygmomanometer (Riester) and standardised protocol. Participants were seated for at least 15 min before the measurement. Their back was supported, feet on the floor and arm resting palm up in the arm rest of the chair so that the cubital fossa was at heart level. An adjustable cuff (encircling at least 80% of the upper arm) was fitted by the clinician. The average of two measurements (2 min apart) was recorded. Participants were also interviewed on lifestyle habits (including smoking habits, alcohol intake and drug use). B vitamin supplement users were initially excluded during the recruitment phone call. Participants were further interrogated at the check-up to confirm that they were not using B vitamin supplements.

# Medical history

Current illnesses and medication were recorded and classified using the Spanish Ministry of Health, Consumer Affairs and

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. University of Bergen, on 22 Jan 2021 at 09:46:13, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114520000793

Social Welfare 'Clasificación Internacional de Enfermedades, 9<sup>a</sup> Revisión, Modificación Clínica'<sup>(39)</sup>. The frequency of diagnosed hypertension was recorded (previous diagnosis of hypertension based on blood pressure  $\geq$ 140/90 mmHg, being treated or monitored by their clinician). Following 15 min rest, two readings (2 min apart) of systolic and diastolic blood pressure were measured by the clinicians in the left arm, while sitting, using a standard mercury sphygmomanometer and standardised protocol. Participants never diagnosed previously with hypertension and with normal blood pressure at the check-up were classified as normotensive.

# Blood samples

Fasting blood samples were collected from the antecubital vein in EDTA-K<sub>3</sub> vacutainers and kept at 4°C until processing, in <2 h of collection, as previously described for erythrocyte folate, and plasma tHcy, creatinine, folate, cobalamin, determinations<sup>(23)</sup>, as well as erythrocyte glutathionine reductase activation coefficient (EGRAC) (functional measurement of riboflavin status)<sup>(26)</sup>. Plasma total cholesterol and TAG were measured by standard techniques (ITC diagnostics). The *MTHFR* 677C>T (rs1801133)<sup>(20)</sup> and *SLC19A1* 80 G>A (rs 1051266)<sup>(23)</sup> polymorphisms were determined as previously described from leucocyte-extracted DNA<sup>(23)</sup>.

# Statistical analysis

Descriptive data are reported as means and 95 % CI for normally distributed variables and as geometric means and 95 % CI when variables with skewed distributions were In-transformed for the application of parametric statistical tests. Means were compared between groups by ANOVA. Categorical variables are reported as percentages and 95 % CI, calculated using the Confidence Interval Analysis program (University of Southampton, UK), and compared between groups with the  $\chi^2$  test. Hardy-Weinberg distributions of allele frequencies were tested as previously described<sup>(23)</sup>. Predictors of tHcy were assessed using multiple linear regression analysis. The probability of having hypertension for tHcy in the third compared with first and second tHcy tertiles (sex and age group, 50 years or younger and over 50 years, specific) was explored in multiple logistic regression models (basic model). Further models were adjusted for sex, age, socio-economic status, BMI, alcohol intake, smoking and total plasma cholesterol. The probability of having hypertension with the MTHFR 677CT and TT compared with CC genotypes was also investigated using logistic regression (basic model) and further models adjusted for sex, age, BMI, plasma creatinine, SLC19A1 80 GA v. GG genotype, SLC19A1 80 AA v. GG genotype, plasma folate, plasma cobalamin, EGRAC, socio-economic status, alcohol intake, smoking and plasma total cholesterol.

We based our sample size calculation on data from a previous population-based study<sup>(33)</sup> in which the OR for hypertension was 1.7 for people with the *MTHFR* 677CT genotype and 3.0 for those with the TT compared with CC genotype. In the same study, 49 and 9.3% of the non-hypertensive group had CT and TT genotypes, respectively. Accepting an  $\alpha$  risk of 0.05 and a  $\beta$  risk of 0.2 in a one-sided test, 134 hypertensive and 482 non-hypertensive

participants were necessary to detect a statistically significant, lowest expected OR of hypertension. These calculations were carried out using the Poisson approximation available on an online Sample Size and Power calculator designed by the Institut Municipal d'InvestigacióMèdica, Barcelona<sup>(40)</sup>.

Significance was accepted at P < 0.05, and SPSS version 23.0 was used for statistical analyses.

#### Results

The prevalence of diagnosed hypertension in the population was  $16 \cdot 2 (95 \% \text{ CI } 13 \cdot 5, 19 \cdot 1) \%$ . It was  $4 \cdot 5 (95 \% \text{ CI } 3 \cdot 0, 6 \cdot 8) \%$  in participants aged 50 years or less and  $42 \cdot 6 (95 \% \text{ CI } 36 \cdot 0, 49 \cdot 5) \%$  in participants aged over 50 years.

The characteristics of the studied population, stratified by tHcy tertiles, are reported in Table 1 and online Supplementary Table S1. The allele frequencies for the MTHFR 677C>T and SLC19A1 80 G>A polymorphisms were in Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. In the third tHcy tertile (women >  $9.6 \,\mu$ mol/l; men > 11.1 µmol/l), participants were older, had lower plasma folate, red cell folate and plasma cobalamin concentrations, more of them had suboptimal riboflavin status (based on EGRAC category, online Supplementary Table S1), the MTHFR 677TT genotype and the combination of MTHFR 677TT + SLC19A1 80AA genotypes were more prevalent, compared with the other tertiles. Specifically, women had higher plasma creatinine concentrations and more of them were hypertensive and more men had low socio-economic status compared with those in the other tertiles. Globally, plasma folate status was higher in women (geometric mean 12.2; 95% CI 11.5, 12.9 nmol/l) than in men (geometric mean 10.9; 95 % CI 10.4, 11.5 nmol/l) (P = 0.006). Participant characteristics are reported by age group and sex in online Supplementary Table S2.

Multiple linear regression analysis, testing the associations between non-modifiable factors and lifestyle factors with tHcy, is summarised in Table 2. In the complete model in women, age group followed by MTHFR 677TT genotype, plasma cobalamin, folate, creatinine and smoking was most strongly associated with tHcy. In men, the strongest predictor of tHcy was the MTHFR 677TT genotype, followed by plasma folate, age group and plasma cobalamin. There was a significant interaction between MTHFR 677C>T genotype and age group (P=0.030) in the overall population and between MTHFR 677C>T genotype and smoking in men (P = 0.028). The interaction is illustrated in Fig. 1. The effect sizes of the associations ( $\beta$ -coefficients) between the MTHFR 677TT genotype v. CC genotype and tHcy were greater in smokers than in nonsmokers in all of the models. In a stratified analysis by age and sex, the MTHFR 677TT-tHcy association was confined to women aged 50 years or less ( $\beta$ : 0.20, P < 0.001; in women >50,  $\beta$ : 0.09, P = 0.19) but in men, it was observed in both age groups (aged  $\leq$ 50 years or less:  $\beta$ : 0.29, P < 0.001; >50 years,  $\beta: 0.14, P = 0.020).$ 

A stratified analysis by *MTHFR* 677C>T genotype showed some differences in predictors of tHcy among genotypes (Table 3). The strongest associations with tHcy were observed for sex, age group and plasma folate (in that order) in participants with the CC genotype. In the case of the CT genotype,

# **N**<sup>5</sup> British Journal of Nutrition

Table 1. Characteristics of the study population according to sex-specific fasting plasma total homocysteine (tHcy) tertiles (µmol/l)† (Median values and 25th, 75th percentiles; mean values and 95 % confidence intervals)

			Women (tH	lcy (μmol/l) tertiles	6)				Men (tHcy	(µmol/l) tertiles)			
		1 (<7.7)	2	(7.7–9.6)	:	3 (>9·6)		1 (<9·3)	2 (	9·3–11·1)	З	(>11.1)	
	п		n		n		n		n		n		
Age (years)	125		125		121		117		118		117		
Median		39.6		42.3		46.3**		39.2		43.4		46.1**	
P25, P75		37.3, 41.9		39.7, 45.0		43.2, 49.4		36.7, 41.7		40.9, 45.9		43.0, 49.1	
BMI (kg/m²)	125		123		116		116		116		116		
Arithmetic mean		25.8		26.7		27.5		27.2		27.7		27.2	
95 % CI		24.9, 26.8		25.7, 27.6		26.3, 28.7		26.4, 28.1		26.9, 28.4		26.4, 28.0	
Smokers	39		35	,	41	,	51	,	41		45		
%		31.2		28.2		34.2		43.6		34.7		38.8	
95 % CI		23.7.39.8		21.1.36.7		27.2.44.4		34.9. 52.6		26.8. 43.7		30.4.47.9	
Alcohol consumption±	125	- ,	125	,	121	,	117	,	118	, -	117	,	
Low to moderate	10		21		23		39		42		43		
%		8.0		16.8		19.0*		33.3		35.6		36.8	
95 % CI		4.4.14.1		11.3. 24.3		13.0. 26.9		25.4.34.1		27.5.44.6		28.6.45.8	
High	9	,	3		6		33	20 ., 0	27	2. 0,0	36	200, 100	
%	U	7.2	Ū	2.4	Ũ	4.9	00	28.2		22.9	00	30.8	
95 % CI		3.8 13.1		0.8 6.8		2.3 10.4		20.8 37.1		16.2 31.2		23.1 39.6	_
Diagnosed hypertension	11	00, 101	10	00,00	31	20, 104	10	200,071	15	102, 012	17	201,000	<u>ନ</u>
		8.8	10	8.0	51	25.6***	10	8.5	15	12.7	17	14.4	0
95 % CI		5.0 15.1		1.1 11.1		18.7 34.1		4.7 15.0		70 100		0.2 21.0	E
	50	5.0, 15.1	61	4.4, 14.1	61	10.7, 54.1	10	4.7, 13.0	20	7.9, 19.9	40	5.2, 21.5	SS
	55	10.1	01	10 0	01	50 /	15	15 /	50	25.4	40	24 0**	-2
		42.4		40.0 57.5		JU-4		10.0.02.0		104 04 0		060 400	lar
95 % CI Placma folato (nmol/l)	105	34.1, 31.2	105	40.2, 57.5	101	41.0, 59.2	117	10.0, 23.0	110	10.4, 34.0	117	20.2, 43.2	Ē
	125	14.0	125	11 5	121	11 0***	117	10.6	110	11.0	117	0 1***	et
		14.3		104 107		0.0 10.0		12.0		100 10 4		9.1	a
	105	13.1, 13.0	105	10.4, 12.7	101	9.0, 12.3		11.0, 13.0	440	10.3, 12.4	110	0.2, 10.1	
Red cell folate (nmol/l)	125	000	125	704	121	700***	117	050	118	050	118	704 ***	
		899		781		733		952		852		721	
	101	840, 954	105	734, 830	101	676, 796		302, 1004	440	795, 913	447	673, 773	
Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)	124	077	125	050	121	000**	117	005	118	0.40	117	017+++	
Geometric mean		377		352		322**		385		343		317***	
95% CI		355, 401		331, 375		298, 348		363, 408		321, 367		299, 336	
EGRAC	123		125		121		116		11/		113		
Geometric mean		1.41		1.33		1.36*		1.39		1.34		1.34	
95 % CI		1.37, 1.45		1.30, 1.37		1.31, 1.40		1.36, 1.43		1.30, 1.37		1.30, 1.38	
tHcy (µmol/l)	125		125		121		117		118		118		
Geometric mean		6.5		8.6		11.8***		7.9		10.1		13.6***	
95 % CI		6.4, 6.7		8·5, 8·7		11.4, 12.2		7.7, 8.1		10·0, 10·2		13.1, 14.1	
Plasma creatinine (µmol/l)	125		125		121		116		118		118		
Arithmetic mean		70.4		64·5		67.3		81.3		81.7		81·9	
95 % CI		58·2, 82·5		63·0, 66·0		65·7, 69·0		79·2, 83·4		79·8, 83·7		79·7, 81·4	
Plasma total cholesterol (mmol/l)	125		125		120		117		117		118		
Arithmetic mean		5.1		5.3		5.3		5.3		5.3		5.4	
95 % CI		5.0, 5.3		5.1, 5.5		5·1, 5·5		5·1, 5·5		5.1, 5.5		5.2, 5.6	
MTHFR CC	50		38		44		53		43		30		
%		40.0		30.4		36.4		45.3		36.8		25.6	
95 % CI		31.8, 48.8		23.0, 38.9		28.3, 45.2		36.6, 54.3		28.6, 45.8		18·6, 34·2	

\*

72

Nutritio	
of	
Journal	
British	
2	

Table 1. (Continued)

		Women	(tHcy (µmol/l) tertiles	(				Men (tHcy	(µmol/l) tertiles)		
	1 (<7·7)		2 (7.7–9.6)	e	3 (>9.6)		1 (<9.3)	2 (6	9.3–11.1)	3	(>11.1)
	и	и		и		и		и		и	
MTHFR CT	64	63		49		55		59		47	
%	51.2		50.4		40.5		47.0		50.4		40.2
95 % CI	42.5, 56	8.0	41.8, 59.0		32.2, 49.4		38.2, 56.0		41.5, 59.3		31.7, 49.2
MTHFR TT	11	24		28		6		15		40	
%	8.8		19.2		23·1*		7.7		12·8		34.2***
95 % CI	5.0, 15	<u>1</u>	13·3, 27·0		16.5, 31.4		4.1, 14.0		7.9, 20.1		26.2, 43.2
<i>SLC19A1</i> GG	30	21		28		33		35		35	
%	24:2		17.4		23.3		28.2		29.9		30-4
95 % CI	17.5, 32	4	11.6, 25.1		16.7, 31.7		20.8, 37.0		22.4, 38.7		22·8, 39·4
<i>SLC19A1</i> GA	58	77		55		58		56		55	
%	46.8		63.6		45.8		49.6		47.9		47·8
95 % CI	38.2, 55	55	54.8, 71.7		37.2, 54.7		40.7, 58.5		39-0, 56-8		38.9, 56.9
SLC19A1 AA	36	23		37		26		26		25	
%	29.0		19.0		30.8*		22.2		22·2		21.7
95 % CI	21-8, 37	,6	13.0, 26.9		23.3, 39.6		15.6, 30.6		15.6, 30.6		15.2, 30.1
EGRAC, erythrocyte glutathione reductase $* P < 0.001$ , $v^2$ te	activation coefficient; M st comparing categorica	<i>THFR</i> , methylene I variables and Al	tetrahydrofolate reducta NOVA comparing contin	se 677C>T p uous variable	oolymorphism; <i>SLC1</i> es between tHcv terti	<i>9A</i> 1, solute ( les).	carrier family 19A me	ember 1 80 G	>A polymorphism.		
† Twenty-four participants were excluded at within 2 h of collection and five participant + Category of bahinal alcohol intrake. mode	ter the medical check-up ts because they had sus trate (<16 d/d in women	due to declared E spected altered re and <24 o/d in m	3 vitamin supplement use nal function (plasma cre en) and hich (>16 d/d ir	e. A further fift atinine >97 m women and	y-one participants we nmol/l for women an	ere excluded d >124 mmo	l from all analyses inv b// for men).	olving tHcy b	ecause their blood s	amples wer	e not processed

these were plasma cobalamin, sex, plasma folate and number of cigarettes smoked/d for the CT genotype and plasma cobalamin and folate only in the case of the TT genotype.

In the models exploring the predictors of hypertension, we excluded the participants that were initially classified as 'non-hypertensive', based on the absence of diagnosed hypertension, but that had a point blood pressure reading of systolic blood pressure ≥ 140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure  $\geq$  90 mmHg at the study check-up, or with missing blood pressure readings. Thus, the prevalence of hypertension among the participants included in the final models was 21.8%. The final ratio of non-hypertensive:hypertensive participants in these models was 3.6.

The probability of having hypertension when tHcy is in the third tertile v. the first is reported in Table 4. Age and BMI were significant predictors of hypertension in all of the models.

Being in the third tertile of tHcy was associated with increased probability of hypertension in the population as a whole (1.9; 95 % CI 1.2, 3.2) and this association was sustained after adjusting for multiple confounding variables in all of the models.A stratified analysis by age group showed that the association was confined to participants aged >50 years (2.8; 95 % CI 1.1, 5.6).

No association between either of the variant MTHFR 677C>T genotypes and diagnosed hypertension was observed in the overall population (Table 5). The TT genotype was associated with greater probability of having hypertension than the CC genotype (4.1; 95 % CI 1.0, 16.9), in participants aged  $\leq$ 50 years. This association was sustained in all of the models. In the final model in this age group, the strongest predictors of hypertension were low compared with mid-high socio-economic status (9.5; 95% CI 2.4, 27.9) and sex (male v. female) (8.8; 95% CI 1.8, 43.2), followed by the MTHFR 677TT v. CC genotype. No association between genotype and hypertension was observed in participants older than 50 years. The strongest predictors of hypertension were EGRAC (6.2; 95 % CI 1.0, 38.7), low compared with mid-high socio-economic status (2.7; 95% CI 1.1, 6.6) and BMI (1.2; 95 % CI 1.1, 1.3).

# Discussion

men) and high (≥16 g/d in women and ≥24 g/d in men)

# Principal findings

Age interacted with the MTHFR 677TT genotype in its association with tHcy and smoking interacted with the genotype in men. Moderately elevated tHcy was associated with increased probability of hypertension in the overall population and specifically in people over 50 years of age. The association in this older age group may have been driving that observed in the overall population. On the other hand, the MTHFR 677TT genotype was associated with increased probability of hypertension compared with the CC genotype in participants of 50 years of age or under. Worsening riboflavin status was associated with increased probability of hypertension in people over 50 years of age.

# Comparisons with other studies

The models explained up to 26% of the variability of tHcy. The prevalence of the homozygote variant genotype at 17.9%

73

Table 2. Multiple linear regression analysis of factors associated with fasting plasma total homocysteine in all participants and separately by sex (Adjusted  $R^2$  values and  $\beta$ -coefficients)

All participants (n 687)       0.184***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.206       <         Model 1 (non-modifiable lactors)§       0.184***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.305       <         Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§)       0.194***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.196          Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§)       0.194***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.196          Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§)[]       0.194***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.286          Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§)[]       0.259***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.286          MitHFR TT v. CC genotype       0.325        0.097           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§)[]       0.259***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.286          MitHFR TT v. CC genotype       0.325         0.097          Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.90***       Age group (<50, >50 years)       0.286          Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable factors)§)       0.90***       Age group (<50, >50 years)       0.283          Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§)         0.137***       Age group (<50, >50 year	Model	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> †	Independent variables	Standardised $\beta$ ‡	Р
Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§         0-184***         Age group (550, >50 years)         0-206         <           Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§           0-194***         Age group (550, >50 years)         0-196         C           Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§           0-194***         Age group (550, >50 years)         0-196         C           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  1         0-194***         Age group (550, >50 years)         0-266         C           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  1         0-259***         Age group (550, >50 years)         0-266         C           Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§)         0-259***         Age group (550, >50 years)         0-266         C           Model 1 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  1         0-259***         Age group (550, >50 years)         0-266         C           Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§         0-259***         Age group (550, >50 years)         0-266         C           Women (n 349)         0-111         C         0-111         0-111         0-111         0-111         0-111         0-111         0-111         0-111         0-111         0-111         0-111         0-111         0-111         0-111         0-111         0-111         0-111         0-111         0-111	All participants (n 687)				
Sex         0.305         <	Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§	0.184***	Age group (≤50, >50 years)	0.206	<0.001
MTH/FR TT v. CC genotype       0.958       <			Sex	0.305	<0.001
MTHFR CT v. CC genotype         0.095         0.095           Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§           0.194***         Age group (c50, >50 years)         0.196         0.027           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  *         0.194***         Age group (c50, >50 years)         0.0484         0.079			MTHFR TT v. CC genotype	0.358	<0.001
Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§           0.194***         0.194***         0.194 erroup (550, >50 years)         0.196           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0.259***         0.259***         0.196         0.009           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0.259***         0.269***         0.090         0.097         0.097           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0.259***         0.269***         0.097         0.097         0.097           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0.259***         0.269***         0.097			MTHFR CT v. CC genotype	0.095	0.025
Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§         0.194***       Age group (550, >50 years)       0.196       C         Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶       0.259***       Age group (50, >50 years)       0.079       C         Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶       0.259***       Age group (50, >50 years)       0.2266       C         Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶       0.259***       Age group (50, >50 years)       0.2266       C         Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.99***       Age group (50, >50 years)       0.2266       C         Wormen (n 349)       MitHFR TT v. CC genotype       0.325       C       C       Granttesid       0.053       C         Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.99***       Age group (50, >50 years)       0.266       C       C       Granttesid       0.053       C       C       Granttesid       0.053       C       C       Fillesma cobalantin (pmol/)       -0.111       C       C       Granttesid       0.053       C       C       Fillesma cobalantin (pmol/)       -0.137       C       C       Granttesid       0.053       C       C       Fillesma cobalantin (pmol/)       0.266       C       C       Granttesid       C       Granttesid       Granttesid       Granttesid			Interaction MTHFR genotype × age group		0.056
Sex         0.237         <	Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§	0.194***	Age group (≤50, >50 years)	0.196	0.001
MITH/H TI v. CC genotype         0.348         <			Sex	0.237	<0.001
MHHR CI v. CC genotype         0.084         Construction           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  1         0.259***         Age group (£50, 550 years)         0.266         <			MTHFR TT v. CC genotype	0.348	<0.001
Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  1       0.259***       0.259***       0.099       0.097         Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  1       0.259***       0.259***       0.266       <			MIHFR CI v. CC genotype	0.084	0.048
Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0.259***         Age group (<50, >50 years)         0.266         <			Interaction MIHFR genotype × age group	0.070	0.071
Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶       0-259***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0-256       C         Sex       0-198       C       Sex       0-198       C         Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶       0-259***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0-069       C         MTH/FR TT v. CC genotype       0-053       C			Cigarettes/d	0.079	0.030
Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  1         0.259 <sup>-M</sup> Age group (250, >50 years)         0.256            Sex         M1HFR TT v. CC genotype         0.325         <	Marala I. O. (as a stat. O. J. AOM muthia at a tast. a) SUG	0.050***	Plasma creatinine (µmol/l)	0.097	0.033
Sex         0-198            MTH/FR CT v. CC genotype         0-069            MTH/FR CT v. CC genotype         0-069            Cigarettes/d         0-053            Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)         0-111            Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)         0-113            Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)         -0-173            Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§         0-090***         Age group (<50, >50 years)         0-263            Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§           0-137***         Age group (<50, >50 years)         0-281            Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§           0-137***         Age group (<50, >50 years)         0-281            Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0-211***         Age group (<50, >50 years)         0.281            MTH/FR CT v. CC genotype         0-287               Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0-211***         Age group (<50, >50 years)         0.281            MTH/FR CT v. CC genotype         0-287               Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0-21	Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  1	0.259^^^	Age group ( $\leq$ 50, >50 years)	0.266	<0.001
M/HFH T1 v. CC genotype         0.425         0.425           M/HFH T1 v. CC genotype         0.0699         0.0699           Interaction M/THFR GT v. CC genotype x age group         0.053         0.069           Cigarettes/d         0.053         0.053         0.053           Plasma creatinine (umol/l)         -0.111         0.011         0.011           Vormen (n 349)         -0.173         0.020         0.020           Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§         0.090***         Age group (<50, >50 years)         0.263         0.020           Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§         0.090***         Age group (<50, >50 years)         0.281         0.090           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0.137***         Age group (<50, >50 years)         0.281         0.020           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0.211***         Age group (<50, >50 years)         0.371         0.020           Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§         0.211***         Age group (<50, >50 years)         0.371         0.020           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0.211***         Age group (<50, >50 years)         0.371         0.020           Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§         0.211***         Age group (<50, >50 years)         0.0161 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>0.198</td><td>&lt;0.001</td></td<>				0.198	<0.001
MITHER CT V. CC genotype         0.069         0.061         0.0			MTHFR IT V. CC genotype	0.325	<0.001
Mineration // IP/R genotype x age group         0.053         0           Cigarettes/d         0.053         0           Plasma creatinine (µmol/l)         0.111         0           Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)         -0.198         0           Women (n 349)         EGRAC         -0.051         0           Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§         0.090***         Age group (s50, >50 years)         0.263         0           Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§           0-137***         Age group (s50, >50 years)         0.281         0           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0-137***         Age group (s50, s50 years)         0.281         0           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0-137***         Age group (s50, s50 years)         0.281         0           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0-211***         Age group (s50, s50 years)         0.371         0           Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§         0-211***         Age group (s50, s50 years)         0.371         0           Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§         0-211***         Age group (s50, s50 years)         0.371         0           Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§         0-128***         Age group (s50, s50 years)         0.361         0      <			MIHFR CI V. CC genotype	0.069	0.089
Plasma creatinine (µmol/l)       0.003       0.003         Plasma creatinine (µmol/l)       0.013       0.013         Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)       -0.198       0.013       0.013         Women (n 349)       EGRAC       -0.051       0.000         Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.090***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.263       0.000         Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§         0.137***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.281       0.000         Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§         0.137***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.281       0.000         Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶       0.211***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.281       0.000         Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶       0.211***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.371       0.000         Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.211***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.371       0.000         Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.211***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.371       0.000         Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.128***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.161       0.000         Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.128***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)			Interaction MIHFR genotype × age group	0.050	0.030
Plasma cobalamin (µmol/l)       -0.118         Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)       -0.173         Plasma folate (nmol/l)       -0.173         Yerrer       CGRAC         Women (n 349)       -0.051         Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.090***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.263         Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§        0.137***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.281         Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶       0.137***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.287         Cigarettes/d       0.165         Plasma creatinine (µmol/l)       0.133         Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶       0.211***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.371         Cigarettes/d       0.1165         Plasma creatinine (µmol/l)       0.133         Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.211***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.371         Cigarettes/d       0.117         Plasma creatinine (µmol/l)       0.165         Cigarettes/d       0.117         Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)       -0.251         Cigarettes/d       0.117         Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)       -0.261			Cigarettes/d	0.053	0.138
Plasma folate (mol/l)       -0.196       <			Plasma creatinine (µmol/l)	0.100	0.001
Women (n 349)       -0.173       <			Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)	-0.198	<0.001
Women (n 349)         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.263         (0)           Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§         0.090***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.263         (0)           Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§           0.137***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.281         (0)           Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§           0.137***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.281         (0)           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0.137***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.281         (0)           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0.211***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.371         (0)           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0.211***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.371         (0)           Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§         0.128***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.371         (0)           Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable factors)§         0.128***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.6161         (0)           Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§         0.128***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.161         (0)           Model 1 (non-modifiable lifestyle factors)§           0.128***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.161			Plasma folate (nmol/l)	-0.173	<0.001
Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.090***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.263       (0.095)         Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.090***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.095       (0.095)         Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§         0.137***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.281       (0.000)         Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶       0.137***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.287       (0.000)         Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶       0.211***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.165       (0.000)         Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶       0.211***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.371       (0.000)         Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.211***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.371       (0.000)         Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.211***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.371       (0.000)         Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.211***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.371       (0.000)         Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.128***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.161       (0.000)         Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0.128***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.161       (0.000)         Model 2	$M_{\rm cman}$ (n 240)		EGRAC	-0.051	0.143
Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§       0-130       0-130       0-137***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0-283       <0	Model 1 (non modifiable factors)	0.000***	Ago group ( $\leq E0 > E0$ years)	0.060	0.001
$ \begin{array}{c} Min Hirr R TV \cdot CC genotype & 0.306 & CC \\ MTHFR TV \cdot CC genotype & 0.995 & CC \\ Interaction MTHFR TV \cdot CC genotype \times age group & CC \\ MTHFR TT \cdot CC genotype \times age group & 0.281 & CC \\ MTHFR TT \cdot CC genotype & 0.287 & CC \\ MTHFR TT \cdot CC genotype \times age group & 0.489 & CC \\ MTHFR TT \cdot CC genotype \times age group & 0.489 & CC \\ MTHFR TT \cdot CC Genotype \times age group & 0.489 & CC \\ MTHFR TT \cdot CC Genotype \times age group & 0.465 & CC \\ Plasma creatinine (\mumOll) & 0.133 & CC \\ Plasma creatinine (\mumOll) & 0.133 & CC \\ MTHFR TT \cdot CC Genotype & 0.468 & CC \\ Interaction MTHFR TT \cdot CC Genotype & 0.468 & CC \\ Interaction MTHFR TT \cdot CC Genotype \times age group & CC \\ Cigarettes/d & 0.117 & CC \\ Plasma creatinine (\mumOll) & 0.161 & CC \\ Plasma creatinine (\mumOll) & 0.161 & CC \\ Plasma creatinine (\mumOll) & -0.251 & CC \\ Plasma cobalamin (pmoll) & -0.251 & CC \\ Plasma cobalamin (pmoll) & -0.161 & CC \\ Cigarettes/d & 0.1177 & CC \\ Cigarettes/d & 0.1161 & CC \\ Cigarettes/d & 0.1177 & CC \\ Cigarettes/d & 0.161 & CC \\ Cigarettes/d & CO \\ Cigar$	Model 1 (101-1100111able lactors)§	0.090	Age group ( $\leq$ 50, > 50 years)	0.203	<0.001
Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§           0-137***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0-281         0           Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§           0-137***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0-287         0           MTH/FR TT v. CC genotype         0-287         0         0         0         0         0           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0-211***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.371         0           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0-211***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.371         0           Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶         0-211***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.371         0           Model 1 (model 1 + modifiable factors)§         0-128***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.117         0           Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§         0-128***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.161         0           Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§         0-128***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.161         0           Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§           0-128***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.161         0           MTH/FR TT v. CC genotype         0.453         0         0         0			MTHER CT V. CC genotype	0.005	0 124
Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)\$         0.137***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.281       (C         MTHFR TT v. CC genotype       0.287       (C         MTHFR TT v. CC genotype       0.287       (C         Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)\$  ¶       0.211***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.165       (C         MTHFR TT v. CC genotype       0.281       (C       (C)       (C)       (C)       (C)         Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)\$  ¶       0.211***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.371       (C)         MTHFR TT v. CC genotype       0.271       (C)       (C)       (C)       (C)       (C)         MTHFR TT v. CC genotype       0.211***       Age group (≤50, >50 years)       0.371       (C)			Interaction MTHER genetype x ago group	0.095	0.134
Model 2 (Inddel 1 + Induitable lifestyle factors)§         0-103'       Or 10'       Mit HR TT v. CC genotype       0-287       <	Model 2 (model 1 , modifiable lifestyle factors)&	0.137***	Age group ( $< 50$ > 50 years)	0.281	0.001
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{MTHFR CT } \text{V. CC gentype} & 0.207 & \text{CC} \\ \text{MTHFR CT } \text{V. CC gentype} & 0.089 & \text{CO} \\ \text{Interaction MTHFR genotype x age group} & \text{CO} \\ \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.165 & \text{CO} \\ \text{Plasma creatinine (\mumol/l)} & 0.133 & \text{CO} \\ \text{Plasma creatinine (\mumol/l)} & 0.133 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.271 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.271 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR CT v. CC genotype} & 0.068 & \text{CO} \\ \text{Interaction MTHFR genotype x age group} & \text{CO} \\ \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.117 & \text{CO} \\ \text{Plasma creatinine (\mumol/l)} & 0.161 & \text{CO} \\ \text{Plasma creatinine (\mumol/l)} & 0.161 & \text{CO} \\ \text{Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)} & -0.251 & \text{CO} \\ \text{Plasma folate (nmol/l)} & -0.251 & \text{CO} \\ \text{Plasma folate (nmol/l)} & -0.161 & \text{CO} \\ \text{Plasma folate (nmol/l)} & -0.161 & \text{CO} \\ \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.128^{***} & \text{Age group (\leq 50, >50 years)} & 0.161 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.4553 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.106 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.106 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.106 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.106 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.106 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.106 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.136 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.454 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.100 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.100 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.100 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.100 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.100 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.100 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.454 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.100 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.100 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.100 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.100 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.100 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.100 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.100 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.100 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.100 & \text{CO} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.100 & \text{CO} \\ MTHFR $		0.137	MTHER TT + CC construction	0.287	<0.001
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Minimum Critic Sensitype} & \text{age group} & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.165 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.133 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.133 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.133 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.211*** & \text{Age group} (\leq 50, >50 \text{ years}) & 0.371 & <\text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.211 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.117 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.117 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.117 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.161 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.161 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.251 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.250 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.250 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.251 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.250 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.$			MTHER CT V. CC genotype	0.080	0.156
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)} \  \  & 0.211^{***} & \text{Age group } (\leq 50, >50 \text{ years}) & 0.371 & <0.888888 \\ \text{Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)} \  \  & 0.211^{***} & \text{Age group } (\leq 50, >50 \text{ years}) & 0.371 & <0.888888888888888888888888888888888888$			Interaction MTHER genetype × ago group	0.009	0.341
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)} \\ \text{Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)} \\ \text{Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)} \\ \text{Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)} \\ \text{Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)} \\ \text{Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)} \\ \text{Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)} \\ \text{Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)} \\ \text{Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)} \\ \text{Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)} \\ \text{Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)} \\ \text{Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)} \\ \text{Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)} \\ \text{Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)} \\ \text{Model 4 (non-modifiable factors)} \\ \text{Model 4 (non-modifiable factors)} \\ \text{Model 4 (non-modifiable lifestyle factors)} \\ \text{Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)} \\ Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle $			Cigarottos/d	0.165	0.002
Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶0-211***Age group ( $\leq 50, >50$ years)0-371<(Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶0-211***Age group ( $\leq 50, >50$ years)0-371<(			Plasma creatinine (umol/l)	0.133	0.002
Model 3 (model 2 + 10M number status) $    $ $O211$ Age group ( $\leq 50, >50$ years) $O311$ $MTHFR TT v. CC genotype0.221MTHFR TT v. CC genotype0.068MTHFR TT v. CC genotype0.068$	Model 3 (model $2 \pm 1$ CM nutrient status) 8    9	0.211***	Age group ( $<$ 50, $>$ 50 years)	0.371	~0.001
$\begin{array}{c} MTHFR \ \mbox{CC} genotype & 0.068 & (0.0000000000000000000000000000000000$		0.511	MTHER TT V CC genotype	0.271	<0.001
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Interaction MTHFR genotype \times age group} & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.117 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.161 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.128^{***} & \text{Age group} (\leq 50, >50 \text{ years}) & 0.161 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.161 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.128^{***} & \text{Age group} (\leq 50, >50 \text{ years}) & 0.161 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.106 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.128^{***} & \text{Age group} (\leq 50, >50 \text{ years}) & 0.161 & \text{Cigarettes/d} & Cigarettes$			MTHER CT V. CC genotype	0.068	0.258
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Cigarettes/d} & 0.117 & (Cigarettes/d) & 0.117 & (Cigarettes/d) & 0.117 & (Cigarettes/d) & 0.161 & (Cigarettes/d) & (Cig$			Interaction $MTHER$ denotype $\times$ are drown	0 000	0.162
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Plasma creatinine } (\mu \text{mol}/l) & 0.161 & (l) \\ \text{Plasma creatinine } (\mu \text{mol}/l) & -0.251 & (l) \\ \text{Plasma cobalamin } (\text{pmol}/l) & -0.161 & (l) \\ \text{Plasma folate } (\text{nmol}/l) & -0.161 & (l) \\ \text{EGRAC} & -0.086 & (l) \\ \text{Model 1 } (\text{non-modifiable factors}) \\ \text{Model 1 } (\text{non-modifiable factors}) \\ \text{Model 1 } (\text{non-modifiable factors}) \\ \text{Model 2 } (\text{model 1} + \text{modifiable lifestyle factors}) \\ \  0.128^{***} \\ \text{Age group } (\leq 50, >50 \text{ years}) \\ \text{Model 2 } (\text{model 1} + \text{modifiable lifestyle factors}) \\ \  0.128^{***} \\ \text{Age group } (\leq 50, >50 \text{ years}) \\ \text{Model 2 } (\text{model 1} + \text{modifiable lifestyle factors}) \\ \  0.128^{***} \\ \text{Age group } (\leq 50, >50 \text{ years}) \\ \text{MTHFR TT } v. CC \text{ genotype} \\ 0.136 \\ \text{MTHFR CT } v. CC \text{ genotype} \\ 0.100 \\ \text{MTHFR CT } v. CC \text{ genotype} \\ 0.100 \\ \text{MTHFR CT } v. CC \text{ genotype} \\ 0.100 \\ \text{MTHFR CT } v. CC \text{ genotype} \\ 0.100 \\ \text{MTHFR CT } v. CC \text{ genotype} \\ 0.100 \\ \text{MTHFR CT } v. CC \text{ genotype} \\ 0.100 \\ \text{MTHFR CT } v. CC \text{ genotype} \\ \text{MTHFR CT } v. CC \text{ genotype} \\ 0.100 \\ \text{MTHFR CT } v. CC \text{ genotype} \\ 0.100 \\ \text{MTHFR CT } v. CC \text{ genotype} \\ \text{MTHFR CT } v. CC \text{ genotype} \\ 0.100 \\ \text{MTHFR CT } v. CC \text{ genotype} \\ MT$			Cigarettes/d	0.117	0.025
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Manual obstanting (µnon/l)} & 0.101 \\ \text{Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)} & -0.251 \\ \text{Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)} & -0.161 \\ \text{C} \\ \text{Plasma folate (nmol/l)} & -0.161 \\ \text{EGRAC} & -0.086 \\ \text{C} \\ \text{Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)} \\ \text{Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)} \\ \text{Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)} \\ 0.128^{***} & \text{Age group ($\leq 50, >50 years)} & 0.161 \\ \text{C} \\ \text{MTHFR TT v. CC genotype} & 0.453 \\ \text{MTHFR CT v. CC genotype} & 0.106 \\ \text{C} \\ \text{Interaction MTHFR genotype x age group} \\ \text{Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)} \\ \end{array} $			Plasma creatinine (umol/l)	0.161	0.001
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Plasma folate (nmol/l)} & -0.161 & <(0.161) \\ \text{Plasma folate (nmol/l)} & -0.161 & <(0.161) \\ \text{EGRAC} & -0.086 & (0.161) \\ \text{Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)} & 0.128^{***} & \text{Age group } (\leq 50, >50 \text{ years}) & 0.161 & 0.161 \\ \text{MTHFR TT } v. CC \text{ genotype} & 0.453 & <(0.161) \\ \text{MTHFR CT } v. CC \text{ genotype} & 0.106 & 0.161 \\ \text{Interaction MTHFR genotype } \times \text{age group} & 0.136 & 0.161 \\ \text{MTHFR TT } v. CC \text{ genotype} & 0.454 & <(0.161) \\ \text{MTHFR TT } v. CC \text{ genotype} & 0.454 & <(0.161) \\ \text{MTHFR CT } v. CC \text{ genotype} & 0.454 & <(0.161) \\ \text{MTHFR CT } v. CC \text{ genotype} & 0.100 & 0.100 \\ \text{MTHFR CT } v. CC \text{ genotype}$			Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)	-0.251	0.003
Men (n 337) Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§ $0.128^{***}$ Age group ( $\leq 50, >50$ years) $0.161$ $0.066$ Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§ $0.128^{***}$ Age group ( $\leq 50, >50$ years) $0.161$ $0.066$ MTHFR TT v. CC genotype $0.453$ $<0.066$ $0.066$ Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§   $0.128^{***}$ Age group ( $\leq 50, >50$ years) $0.136$ $0.066$ MTHFR TT v. CC genotype $0.1366$ $0.066$ $0.066$ $0.066$ $0.066$ MTHFR TT v. CC genotype $0.01366$ $0.066$ $0.006$ $0.006$ MTHFR TT v. CC genotype $0.01366$ $0.006$ $0.006$ $0.006$ MTHFR TT v. CC genotype $0.0100$ $0.006$ $0.000$ $0.000$ MTHFR TT v. CC genotype $0.0100$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ MTHFR TT v. CC genotype $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$ $0.000$			Plasma folate (nmol/l)	-0.161	< 0.001
Men (n 337) Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§ $0.128^{***}$ Age group ( $\leq 50, >50$ years) $0.161$ $0.0000$ Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§ $0.128^{***}$ Age group ( $\leq 50, >50$ years) $0.161$ $0.00000$ MTHFR TT v. CC genotype $0.453$ $<000000000000000000000000000000000000$			FGBAC	-0.086	0.094
Model 1 (non-modifiable factors) $0.128^{***}$ Age group ( $\leq 50, >50$ years) $0.161$ <	Men ( <i>n</i> 337)			0.000	
Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors) $0.128^{***}$ MTHFR TT v. CC genotype $0.453$ $0.106$ $0.006$ Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors) $0.128^{***}$ Age group ( $\leq 50, >50$ years) $0.136$ $0.006$ MTHFR TT v. CC genotype $0.454$ $0.006$ $0.006$ $0.006$ MTHFR TT v. CC genotype $0.0126^{***}$ $0.006$ $0.006$ MTHFR TT v. CC genotype $0.006$ $0.006$ $0.006$ MTHFR TT v. CC genotype $0.006$ $0.006$ $0.006$ MTHFR TT v. CC genotype $0.0006$ $0.0066$ $0.0066$ MTHFR TT v. CC genotype $0.00066$ $0.00666$ MTHFR TT v. CC genotype $0.00066666$ $0.006666666666666666666666666666666666$	Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§	0.128***	Age group (<50, >50 years)	0.161	0.040
MTHFR CT v. CC genotype         0.106         0.106           Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§           0.128***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.136         0.106           MTHFR TT v. CC genotype         0.454         <00	(1 11 11 11 1) ()		MTHFR TT v. CC genotype	0.453	<0.001
Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§           0.128***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.136         0.136           MTHFR TT v. CC genotype         0.454         <00			MTHFR CT v. CC genotype	0.106	0.085
Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§           0.128***         Age group (≤50, >50 years)         0.136         <			Interaction MTHFR genotype × age group		0.089
MTHFR TT v. CC genotype     0.454     <0.454	Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§	0.128***	Age group (<50, >50 years)	0.136	0.106
MTHFR CT v. CC genotype 0.100 (			MTHFR TT v. CC genotype	0.454	<0.001
Internation MTLED apphysics around			MTHFR CT v. CC genotype	0.100	0.109
Interaction <i>INTER</i> genotype x age group			Interaction MTHFR genotype × age group		0.066
Cigarettes/d 0.015 0			Cigarettes/d	0.015	0.784
Plasma creatinine (μmol/l) 0-013 0			Plasma creatinine (µmol/l)	0.013	0.810
Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)    0.129*** Age group (<50, >50 years) 0.217 (	Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶	0.129***	Age group (<50, >50 years)	0.217	0.011
MTHFR TT v. CC genotype 0.422 <0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		MTHFR TT v. CC genotype	0.422	<0.001
MTHFR CT v. CC genotype 0.091 (			MTHFR CT v. CC genotype	0.091	0.080
Interaction <i>MTHFR</i> genotype × age group			Interaction MTHFR genotype x age group		0.025
Cigarettes/d –0.009 (			Cigarettes/d	-0.009	0.868
Plasma creatinine (µmol/l) 0-008 0			Plasma creatinine (µmol/l)	0.008	0.870
Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l) –0.175 (			Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)	-0.175	0.001
Plasma folate (nmo)/I) –0.227 <0			Plasma folate (nmol/l)	-0.227	<0.001
EGRAC0.002 (			EGRAC	-0.002	0.974

MTHFR, methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase; 1CM, 1C metabolism; EGRAC, erythrocyte glutathione reductase activation assay; SLC19A1, solute carrier family 19 A member. \*\*\* *P* < 0.001.

† Corresponding with each model.

‡ From the complete models. § Adjusted for SLC19A1 80GA v. GG and SLC19A1 80AA v. GG genotypes.

Adjusted for the same variables as model 3. Missing data are due to some incomplete lifestyle questionnaires or insufficient blood sample for all of the determinations. Only data

relating to blood samples processed in <2 h of collection were included in the models.

NS British Journal of Nutrition

#### Homocysteine, rs1801133 and hypertension



**Fig. 1.** Interaction between smoking and the methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (*MTHFR*) 677TT v. CC genotype in its association with fasting plasma total homocysteine in men. Columns represent the difference in In tHcy for *MTHFR* 677TT compared with the CC genotype in non-smokers (white columns) and smokers (shaded columns), determined by multiple linear regression analysis. Dependent variable natural log-transformed tHcy. All models were significant (P < 0.001).  $R^2$  (n) for each model: model 1, non-smokers: 0.093 (214); smokers: 0.216 (122); model 2, non-smokers: 0.084 (214); smokers: 0.212 (122); model 3, non-smokers: 0.183 (214); smokers: 0.276 (122). Model 1: adjusted for age group ( $\leq$ 50, >50 years), solute carrier family 19A member 1 80 G>A polymorphism (*SLC19A1*) 80GA v. GG and *SLC19A1* 80AA v. GG genotypes; model 2: adjusted for the same variables as model 1 plus low v. mid-high socio-economic status, BMI, moderate (<16 g/d in women, <24 g/d in men) v. no alcohol consumption, high ( $\geq$ 16 g/d in women,  $\geq$ 24 g/d in men) v. no alcohol consumption, number of cigarettes smoked/d and plasma creatinine; model 3: adjusted for the same variables as model 2 plus plasma folate, plasma cobalamin and erythrocyte glutathionine reductase activation coefficient. Missing data are due to some incomplete lifestyle questionnaires or insufficient blood sample for all of the determinations. Only data relating to blood samples processed in <2 h of collection were included in the models. \*\*\* P < 0.001.

was higher than the 11.8% previously reported for Spanish Caucasians<sup>(41)</sup>.

We confirm findings from previous studies<sup>(24,42)</sup> that both folate and cobalamin status are the most influential modifiable determinants of tHcy. Age and  $\sec^{(24)}$  or sex, age, folate intake, smoking status, and coffee consumption<sup>(43)</sup> were also reported to be the strongest determinants of tHcy. We add to these findings with the observation that the *MTHFR* 677C>T genotype is the strongest determinant of tHcy in men and the next strongest after age in women. The strength of the *MTHFR* 677TT-tHcy association is stronger in male smokers than non-smokers. Our results disagree with the finding that the association between the *MTHFR* 677TT genotype and tHcy is confined to men under 55 years of age<sup>(44)</sup>. The only group that we did not observe this association was women older than 50 years of age.

The *MTHFR* 677TT genotype was associated with hypertension in people younger than 50 years of age, but moderately elevated tHcy was not. On the other hand, moderately elevated tHcy was associated with hypertension in people over 50 years of age. The results support previous findings of a positive association between moderately elevated tHcy and hypertension in adults<sup>(13)</sup>. Another study reported a positive association between tHcy and diastolic blood pressure, mostly in young adults<sup>(8)</sup>. We did not test the association between tHcy and diastolic blood pressure but observed no association between moderately elevated tHcy and hypertension in young adults.

A B-vitamin intervention trial in elderly adult New Zealanders, with high baseline tHcy, lowered tHcy but did not affect blood pressure<sup>(16)</sup>. The results from this and other trials were inconsistent<sup>(17-19)</sup>. It is possible that the elevated tHcy observed in older adults is marking age-related processes that also contribute to blood pressure or cardiovascular risk in general. These processes are independent of tHcy reduction achieved by B vitamin supplementation. This may explain why there is little apparent benefit of tHcy lowering to the outcomes of interest if the same exposure persists to other underlying risk factors. It is

well established that CVD and stroke are caused by exposure to multifactorial factors that interact with each other over a lifetime. Timing of the tHcy reduction relevant to the development/ progression of the biological lesion would be essential to changing the outcome, if it is causally involved. However, this is an extremely difficult component to control and to replicate between trials that are already compounded by a wide diversity of exposures to biological, lifestyle and environmental risks.

A Chinese study reported that the *MTHFR* 677TT genotype was most prevalent in the third tertile of diastolic blood pressure compared with the first and second tertiles in hypertensive patients but this was not true for systolic blood pressure<sup>(32)</sup>. Another study reported that the association between the *MTHFR* 677TT genotype and hypertension was modulated by riboflavin status and riboflavin supplementation was effective in reducing blood pressure in patients with the TT genotype only<sup>(36)</sup>.

The results also support the observations that the association between the *MTHFR* TT genotype and hypertension did not appear to be mediated by tHcy concentration<sup>(12)</sup> or those previously mentioned in the Mendelian randomisation study in young adults<sup>(14)</sup>. However, folate<sup>(12)</sup> and riboflavin<sup>(26)</sup> status modulate the effect of the polymorphism on tHcy but were not considered in the Mendelian randomisation study. Here, we report an interaction between smoking and the *MTHFR* 677TT genotype, in its association with tHcy, in men. The genotype–tHcy association is stronger in smokers than in non-smokers. Furthermore, folate<sup>(45)</sup> and riboflavin<sup>(36)</sup> may modulate the association between the polymorphism and hypertension.

### Interpretation

Globally, plasma folate status was higher in women than in men so this may explain why plasma cobalamin is a stronger determinant of tHcy than plasma folate in women. Cobalamin status **2**)

# 76

#### G. Ornosa-Martín et al.

**Table 3.** Multiple linear regression analysis of factors associated with fasting plasma total homocysteine in all participants and separately according to methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (*MTHFR*) 677C>T genotype (Adjusted  $R^2$  values and  $\beta$ -coefficients)

Model	Adjusted R <sup>2</sup> †	Independent variables	Standardised $\beta$ ‡	Р
MTHFR 677CC genotype (n 241)				
Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§	0.117***	Sex	0.298	<0.001
		Age group (<50, >50 years)	0.200	0.001
Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§	0.100***	Sex	0.290	0.002
		Age group ( $\leq$ 50, $>$ 50 years)	0.162	0.033
		Cigarettes/d	0.007	0.920
Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶	0.140***	Sex	0.268	0.004
		Age group (≤50, >50 years)	0.227	0.004
		Cigarettes/d	-0.002	0.974
		Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)	-0.064	0.301
		Plasma folate (nmol/l)	-0.217	0.001
		EGRAC	-0.069	0.279
MTHFR 677CT genotype (n 322)				
Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§	0.126***	Sex	0.327	<0.001
		Age group (≤50, >50 years)	0.174	0.001
Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§	0.167***	Sex	0.181	0.017
		Age group (≤50, >50 years)	0.125	0.048
		Cigarettes/d	0.151	0.006
Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶	0.239***	Sex	0.157	0.032
		Age group (≤50, >50 years)	0.055	0.322
		Cigarettes/d	0.151	0.006
		Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)	-0.235	<0.001
		Plasma folate (nmol/l)	-0.156	0.005
		EGRAC	-0.073	0.160
MTHFR 677TT genotype (n 122)				
Model 1 (non-modifiable factors)§	0.087**	Sex	0.340	<0.001
		Age group (≤50, >50 years)	-0.028	0.752
Model 2 (model 1 + modifiable lifestyle factors)§	0.083*	Sex	0.246	0.043
		Age group (≤50, >50 years)	0.067	0.532
		Cigarettes/d	0.088	0.344
Model 3 (model 2 + 1CM nutrient status)§  ¶	0.266***	Sex	0.146	0.187
		Age group (≤50, >50 years)	0.104	0.292
		Cigarettes/d	0.088	0.344
		Plasma cobalamin (pmol/l)	-0.417	<0.001
		Plasma folate (nmol/l)	-0.191	0.032
		EGRAC	-0.008	0.924

1CM, 1C metabolism; EGRAC, erythrocyte glutathione reductase activation coefficient; SLC19A1, solute carrier family 19A member.

\* *P* < 0.05, \*\* *P* < 0.01, \*\*\* *P* < 0.001.

† Corresponding with each model.

‡ From the complete models.

§ Adjusted for SLC19A1 80 GA v. GG and SLC19A1 80 AA v. GG genotypes.

II Adjusted for the same variables as model 1 plus low v. mid-high socio-economic status, BMI, moderate (<16 g/d in women, <24 g/d in men) v. no alcohol consumption, high (≥16 g/d in women, ≥24 g/d in men) v. no alcohol consumption, number of cigarettes smoked/d and plasma creatinine.</p>

¶ Adjusted for the same variables as model 3. Missing data are due to some incomplete lifestyle questionnaires or insufficient blood sample for all of the determinations. Only data relating to blood samples processed in <2 h of collection were included in the models.</p>

Table 4. Association between moderately elevated fasting plasma total homocysteine (tHcy) and diagnosed hypertension<sup>+</sup> (Odds ratios and 95 % confidence intervals)

		All par	ticipants			Aged ≤	50 years			Aged >50 years				
Model	n	R <sup>2</sup> ‡	OR§	95 % CI	n	R <sup>2</sup>	OR	95 % CI	n	R <sup>2</sup>	OR	95 % CI		
1	583	0.024*	1.9	1.2, 3.0	418	0.006	1.5	0·6, 3·5	165	0.079**	2.8	1.5, 5.5		
2	000	0.202***	1.9	1.2, 3.0	110	0.083**	1.5	0.6, 3.7	100	0.108**	2.5	1.3, 4.9		
3		0.492***	1.8	1.0, 3.3		0.372***	1.2	0.4, 3.5		0.351***	2.5	1.2, 5.4		

\* *P* < 0.05, \*\* *P* < 0.01, \*\*\* *P* < 0.001.

† Multiple logistic regression analysis was used. Cut-offs for the third tertiles were ≥9·09 µmol/l in women ≤50 years, ≥10·60 µmol/l in women >50, ≥10·88 µmol/l in men ≤50 years, ≥11·59 µmol/l in men >50. Participants without diagnosed hypertension but with point blood pressure measurements >140/90 mm Hg, at the study check-up, were referred for blood pressure monitoring and excluded from the analysis (*n* 77). A further forty-one participants without diagnosed hypertension but with no point blood pressure measurements >140/90 mm Hg, at the study check-up, were referred for blood pressure monitoring and excluded from the analysis (*n* 77). A further forty-one participants without diagnosed hypertension but with no point blood pressure measurement and BMI > 30 kg/m² as well as five participants with possible impaired renal function (plasma creatinine concentration >124 mmol/l in men and >97 mmol/l in women) were also excluded. Only tHcy determinations performed in samples processed in less than 2 h of collection were included. Model 1: (basic model) having tHcy in the thirdtertile compared with tHcy in the first and secondtertiles. Model 2: included the same variables as model 1 as well as low *v*. mid-high socio-economic status. Model 3: included the same variables as model 2 as well as BMI, category of regular alcohol intake (moderate (<16 g/d in women and <24 g/d in men) *v*. none; high *v*. none (≥16 g/d in women and ≥24 g/d in men)), current smoking (cigarettes/d) and total plasma cholesterol (mmol/l).

‡ Nagelkerke R<sup>2</sup>

§ OR and 95 % CI for diagnosed hypertension in participants in the third v. the first and secondage and sex-specific tHcy tertiles are shown.

77

Downloaded from https://www.cambridge.org/core. University of Bergen, on 22 Jan 2021 at 09:46:13, subject to the Cambridge Core terms of use, available at https://www.cambridge.org/core/terms. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114520000793

Table 5. Association between methylenetetrahdyrofolate reductase (*MTHFR*) 677C>T genotype and diagnosed hypertension<sup>+</sup> (Odds ratios and 95 % confidence intervals)

	All participants								$\leq$ 50 years			Aged	>50 years	5				
			СТ	v. CC§	ТТ	<i>v</i> . CC			CT v. CC		ТТ	<i>v</i> . CC			СТ	<i>v</i> . CC	тт	v. CC
Model	n	R <sup>2</sup> ‡	OR∥	95 % CI	OR∥	95 % CI	n	R <sup>2</sup>	OR∥	95 % CI	OR∥	95 % CI	n	R <sup>2</sup>	OR∥	95 % CI	OR∥	95 % CI
1 2 3	573	0·003 0·433*** 0·585***	1.2 1.5 1.2	0·7, 1·9 0·8, 2·8 0·6, 2·6	1∙4 1∙5 1∙7	0·7, 2·6 0·7, 3·4 0·7, 4·4	410	0·037 0·160*** 0·472***	3∙3 3∙2 3∙8	0·9, 11·7 0·9, 11·6 0·7, 20·3	4·1 4·0 8·2	1.0, 16.9 0.9, 17.0 1.3, 53.9	163	0·002 0·059 0·348***	1.1 1.1 1.0	0·6, 2·2 0·5, 2·1 0·4, 2·2	1.3 1.2 1.2	0·5, 3·1 0·5, 3·0 0·4, 3·7

\*\*\* P<0.001

† Participants that did not have diagnosed hypertension but point blood pressure measurements greater than 140/90, at the study check-up, were referred for blood pressure monitoring and excluded from the analysis (*n* 77). A further forty-one participants with no point blood pressure measurement and BMI > 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and five participants with plasma creatinine concentration >124 mmol/l in men and >97 mmol/l in women (indicating possible impaired renal function) were also excluded. Model 1: (basic model) including the predictor variables *MTHFR* 677CT *v*. CC and *MTHFR* 677TT *v*. CC genotypes. Model 2: included the same variables as model 1 as well as sex, age and BMI. Model 3: included the same variables as model 2 as well as plasma folate, plasma cobalamin, erythrocyte glutathionine reductase activation coefficient (functional indicator of riboflavin status) low *v*. mid-high socio-economic status, category of regular alcohol intake (moderate (<16 g/d in women and <24 g/d in men) *v*. none; high (≥16 g/d in women and ≥24 g/d in men)) *v*. none, current smoking (cigarettes/d) and serum total cholesterol.

 $\ddagger$  Nagelkerke  $R^2$  from multiple logistic regression analysis.

§ MTHFR 677C>T genotype.

OR and 95 % CI for diagnosed hypertension in participants with the CT v. CC genotype and TT v. CC genotype, globally and according age group.

has been shown to be the next limiting factor in determining tHcy after  $folate^{(46)}$ .

We did not measure female hormones but, based on previous evidence that female hormones are inversely associated with tHcy, we suggest that the strong determining effect of age on tHcy in women may reflect the effects of changes in hormonal status during different stages of life<sup>(47–49)</sup>. Female hormones may also influence the differences in the determining factors of tHcy between women and men.

In participants under 50 years, the MTHFR 677TT genotype was associated with a greater risk of diagnosed hypertension compared with the CC genotype. This confirms previous reports of an association between the variant T allele and hypertension<sup>(36)</sup>. Our data do not directly support that the mechanism linking the MTHFR genotype to hypertension is via elevated tHcy. Although more participants with the TT genotype (in both age groups) had tHcy in the third tertile, in participants under 50 years, tHcy in the third tertile was not associated with hypertension. Other factors, such as loss in renal function, may also lead to increasing tHcy with  $age^{(50)}$ . This age itself and elevated BMI were less prevalent in the participants under 50 years. After low socio-economic status and sex, the MTHFR 677C>T polymorphism was most strongly associated with hypertension in this age group. On the other hand, EGRAC, low socio-economic status and BMI were the strongest predictors of hypertension in the older age groups. These risk factors for hypertension may be more important in older people than in younger people, thus overriding the underlying MTHFR 677C>T polymorphism effect. Regarding riboflavin status (indicated by EGRAC), worsening status was associated with greater probability of hypertension in the older age group only. The reason for this is unclear but plasma folate, erythrocyte folate and riboflavin status were all higher in the older compared with the younger age group, as we reported previously<sup>(26)</sup>. We can speculate that the EGRAC-hypertension association becomes evident when folate status is replete. Folic acid supplementation has been shown to improve flow-mediated dilatation in blood vessels in coronary artery disease patients independently of tHcy<sup>(51)</sup> and improved artery stiffness independently of MTHFR genotype<sup>(52)</sup>. Riboflavin

supplementation has been shown to reduce systolic blood pressure in MTHFR 677TT homozygotes<sup>(36)</sup>. Folic acid supplement use has been reported to protect against incident hypertension<sup>(53)</sup>. Regarding the differences in predictors of hypertension between the two age groups, impaired one-carbon metabolism due to low folate or riboflavin status and/or MTHFR 677C>T genotype may be more important in younger people where the risk factors associated with ageing are of lower prevalence. In older people, these established age-related risk factors may be more important causes of hypertension. Hyperhomocysteinaemia may be marking each of these 'different' groups of risk factors. If folate protects against hypertension, when hyperhomocysteinaemia is due to impairment in the folate cycle (for genetic or dietary reasons) rather than renal impairment or ageing, it might be linked with hypertension via the same impaired vascular function process. On the other hand, hyperhomocysteinaemia due to renal impairment or ageing may be a biomarker of alternative processes leading to hypertension.

# Strengths and limitations

Associations between folate, cobalamin and riboflavin status as well as the *MTHFR* 677C>T polymorphism with tHcy and hypertension were explored without the influence of B vitamin supplement use and mandatory fortification of staple foods. These factors are likely contributors to the inter-study discrepancies in the effects of tHcy or the *MTHFR* 677C>T genotype previously reported.

Reverse causation cannot be ruled out in the observed associations between tHcy and hypertension in a study of this design. However, this potential limitation does not affect the association between the *MTHFR* 677C>T polymorphism and hypertension. Unknown causes, to date, are likely to explain a relatively large number of hypertension cases. Regarding the known causes, they are diverse and precise control of the intensity of exposures is difficult. Such sources of residual confounding are potential limitations to the study. Previously diagnosed hypertension was the designated outcome of the models. Study point blood pressure measurements were only used to categorise participants with normal readings and no previous diagnosis or suspicion of hypertension, as the normal blood pressure group. To avoid misclassification to either group, participants with high blood pressure detected for the first time at the study check-up were excluded. Changes in lifestyle habits in response to medical advice may have affected tHcy or other predictor variables included in the hypertension models, and blood pressure itself may also have been affected. However, the expected predictors of tHcy were confirmed in the models, and the categorisation of diagnosed hypertension was maintained regardless of whether it had normalised due to treatment. Established predictors of hypertension such as age and BMI were also confirmed in the hypertension models. The study was of an ostensibly low-risk adult population and only 4.2 % of participants under 50 years of age had hypertension. Nevertheless, a significant association between the MTHFR 677TT genotype and probability of hypertension was observed in this group.

#### Conclusion

The probability of hypertension was increased with the *MTHFR* 677TT genotype in adults under 50 years and with moderately elevated tHcy in people over 50 years of age. The strengths of the factors predicting hypertension and their order of importance were different between younger and older adults. Different underlying origins of hyperhomocysteinaemia may explain differences in its links with hypertension with age. This study in a representative sample of an adult population, unexposed to mandatory folic acid fortification or B vitamin supplement use, adds to the evidence that both moderately elevated tHcy and the *MTHFR* 677C>T polymorphism are associated with the risk of hypertension and that these associations differ in subgroups of the population.

# Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Spanish Instituto de Salud Carlos III (ISCIII) Fondo de Investigación en Salud (J. D. F.-B., grant numbers PI00/0954 and PI03/0870) and Catalonian Agència de Gestió d'Ajuts Universitaris i de Recerca (AGAUR) (J. D. F.-B., grant number SGR 1237). Neither the ISCIII nor the AGAUR played any role in the design, analysis and writing of this paper.

G. O.-M., M. M. M. and J. D. F.-B. designed the research. S. C., L. R., G. O.-M., M. M. M. and J. D. F.-B. conducted the research. P. M. U. and K. M. were responsible for the rs 1051266 determinations. G. O.-M., M. M. M. and J. D. F.-B. analysed the data. G. O.-M. and M. M. M. wrote the manuscript. M. M. M. had primary responsibility for the final content. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

### Supplementary material

For supplementary material referred to in this article, please visit https://doi.org/10.1017/S0007114520000793

#### References

- World Health Organization (2015) Q&As on hypertension. https://www.who.int/features/qa/82/en/ (accessed July 2019).
- Athanasakis K (2017) The socioeconomic effects of uncontrolled hypertension. *Curr Vasc Pharmacol* 16, 5–9.
- Partridge L, Deelen J & Slagboom PE (2018) Facing up to the global challenges of ageing. *Nature* 561, 45–56.
- Rossier BC, Bochud M & Devuyst O (2017) The hypertension pandemic: an evolutionary perspective. *Physiology* 32, 112–125.
- Symons JD, Mullick AE, Ensunsa JL, *et al.* (2002) Hyperhomocysteinemia evoked by folate depletion: effects on coronary and carotid arterial function. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 22, 772–780.
- Rodrigo R, Passalacqua W, Araya J, *et al.* (2003) Homocysteine and essential hypertension. *J Clin Pharmacol* 43, 1299–1306.
- Tawakol A, Omland T, Gerhard M, et al. (1997) Hyperhomocyst(e)inemia is associated with impaired endotheliumdependent vasodilation in humans. *Circulation* 95, 1119–1121.
- Nygård O, Vollset SE, Refsum H, *et al.* (1995) Total plasma homocysteine and cardiovascular risk profile. The Hordaland Homocysteine Study. *JAMA* 274, 1526–1533.
- 9. Yang B, Fan S, Zhi X, *et al.* (2017) Interactions of homocysteine and conventional predisposing factors on hypertension in Chinese adults. *J Clin Hypertens* **19**, 1162–1170.
- Sundström J, Sullivan L, D'Agostino RB, *et al.* (2003) Plasma homocysteine, hypertension incidence, and blood pressure tracking: the Framingham Heart Study. *Hypertension* 42, 1100–1105.
- 11. Wang Y, Chen S, Yao T, *et al.* (2014) Homocysteine as a risk factor for hypertension: a 2-year follow-up study. *PLOS ONE* **9**, e108223.
- Rodríguez-Esparragón F, Hernández-Perera O, Rodríguez-Pérez JC, *et al.* (2003) The effect of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T common variant on hypertensive risk is not solely explained by increased plasma homocysteine values. *Clin Exp Hypertens* 25, 209–220.
- Lim U & Cassano PA (2002) Homocysteine and blood pressure in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey, 1988–1994. *Am J Epidemiol* **156**, 1105–1113.
- Borges MC, Hartwig FP, Oliveira IO, *et al.* (2016) Is there a causal role for homocysteine concentration in blood pressure? A Mendelian randomization study. *Am J Clin Nutr* **103**, 39–49.
- 15. Qin X, Li Y, Sun N, *et al.* (2017) Elevated homocysteine concentrations decrease the antihypertensive effect of angiotensinconverting enzyme inhibitors in hypertensive patients. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* **37**, 166–172.
- McMahon JA, Skeaff M, Williams SM, *et al.* (2007) Lowering homocysteine with B vitamins has no effect on blood pressure in older adults. *J Nutr* **137**, 1183–1187.
- 17. Koutatsu Maruyama K, Eshak ES, Kinuta M, *et al.* (2019) Association between vitamin B group supplementation with changes in % flow-mediated dilatation and plasma homocysteine levels: a randomized controlled trial. *J Clin Biochem Nutr* **64**, 243–249.
- 18. van Dijk RA, Rauwerda JA, Steyn M, *et al.* (2001) Long term homocysteine-lowering treatment with folic acid plus pyridoxine is associated with decreased blood pressure but not with improved brachial artery endothelium-dependent vasodilation or carotid artery stiffness: a 2-year, randomized, placebocontrolled trial. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* **21**, 2072–2079.
- Mangoni AA, Sherwood RA, Swift CG, *et al.* (2002) Folic acid enhances endothelial function and reduces blood pressure in smokers: a randomized controlled trial. *J Intern Med* 252, 497–503.

78

- Frosst P, Blom HJ, Milos R, *et al.* (1995) A candidate genetic risk factor for vascular disease: a common mutation in methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase. *Nat Genet* 10, 111–113.
- Jacques PF, Bostom AG, Williams RR, et al. (1996) Relation between folate status, a common mutation in methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase, and plasma homocysteine concentrations. Circulation 93, 7–9.
- Crider KS, Zhu J-H, Hao L, *et al.* (2011) MTHFR 677CT genotype is associated with folate and homocysteine concentrations in a large, population-based, double-blind trial of folic acid supplementation. *Am J Clin Nutr* **93**, 1365–1372.
- Bueno O, Molloy AM, Fernandez-Ballart JD, et al. (2016) Common polymorphisms that affect folate transport or metabolism modify the effect of the MTHFR 677C T polymorphism on folate status. J Nutr 146, 1–8.
- Jacques PF, Bostom AG, Wilson PW, *et al.* (2001) Determinants of plasma total homocysteine concentration in the Framingham Offspring cohort. *Am J Clin Nutr* **73**, 613–621.
- Selhub J, Jacques PF, Bostom AG, *et al.* (2000) Relationship between plasma homocysteine and vitamin status in the Framingham study population. Impact of folic acid fortification. *Public Health Rev* 28, 117–145.
- García-Minguillán CJ, Fernandez-Ballart JD, Ceruelo S, *et al.* (2014) Riboflavin status modifies the effects of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR) and methionine synthase reductase (MTRR) polymorphisms on homocysteine. *Genes Nutr* 9, 435.
- McNulty H, McKinley MC, Wilson B, *et al.* (2002) Impaired functioning of thermolabile methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase is dependent on riboflavin status: implications for riboflavin requirements. *Am J Clin Nutr* **76**, 436–441.
- McNulty H, Dowey LRC, Strain JJ, et al. (2006) Riboflavin lowers homocysteine in individuals homozygous for the MTHFR 677C>T polymorphism. *Circulation* **113**, 74–80.
- Heux S, Morin F, Lea RA, *et al.* (2004) The methylentetrahydrofolate reductase gene variant (C677T) as a risk factor for essential hypertension in Caucasians. *Hypertens Res* 27, 663–667.
- Ilhan N, Kucuksu M, Kaman D, *et al.* (2008) The 677C/T MTHFR polymorphism is associated with essential hypertension, coronary artery disease, and higher homocysteine levels. *Arch Med Res* 39, 125–130.
- Inamoto N, Katsuya T, Kokubo Y, *et al.* (2003) Association of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase gene polymorphism with carotid atherosclerosis depending on smoking status in a Japanese general population. *Stroke* 34, 1628–1633.
- Cheng J, Tao F, Liu Y, *et al.* (2018) Associations of methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T genotype with blood pressure levels in Chinese population with essential hypertension. *Clin Exp Hypertens* 40, 207–212.
- Yin R-X, Wu J-Z, Liu W-Y, *et al.* (2012) Association of several lipid-related gene polymorphisms and blood pressure variation in the Bai Ku Yao population. *Am J Hypertens* 25, 927–936.
- Pérez-Razo JC, Cano-Martínez LJ, Vargas Alarcón G, et al. (2015) Functional polymorphism rs13306560 of the MTHFR gene is associated with essential hypertension in a Mexican-Mestizo population. *Circ Cardiovasc Genet* 8, 603–609.
- 35. McMahon JA, Skeaff CM, Williams SM, *et al.* (2007) Lowering homocysteine with B vitamins has no effect on blood pressure in older adults. *J Nutr* **137**, 1183–1187.
- 36. Horigan G, McNulty H, Ward M, *et al.* (2010) Riboflavin lowers blood pressure in cardiovascular disease patients homozygous for the 677C→T polymorphism in MTHFR. *J Hypertens* **28**, 478–486.
- 37. Wilson CP, Ward M, McNulty H, *et al.* (2012) Riboflavin offers a targeted strategy for managing hypertension in patients with the

MTHFR 677TT genotype: a 4-y follow-up. Am J Clin Nutr 95, 766–772.

- Berrocal-Zaragoza MI, Murphy MM, Ceruelo S, *et al.* (2009) High milk consumers have an increased risk of folate receptor blocking autoantibody production but this does not affect folate status in Spanish men and women. *J Nutr* 139, 1037–1041.
- 39. Ministerio de sanidad consumo y bienestar social (2014) eCIE9MC Edición electrónica de la Clasificación Internacional de Enfermedades 9<sup>a</sup> Edición, Modificación Clínica. https:// eciemaps.mscbs.gob.es/ecieMaps/browser/index\_9\_mc.html (accessed 2019).
- Institut Municipal d'Investigació Mèdica, Barcelona (2012) Sample size and power calculator, version 7.12. https://www. imim.cat/ofertadeserveis/software-public/granmo/ (accessed August 2019).
- 41. Wilcken B, Bamforth F, Li Z, *et al.* (2003) Geographical and ethnic variation of the 677C>T allele of 5,10 methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase (MTHFR): findings from over 7000 newborns from 16 areas worldwide. *J Med Genet* **40**, 619–625.
- 42. Selhub J, Jacques PF, Rosenberg IH, *et al.* (1999) Serum total homocysteine concentrations in the third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (1991–1994): population reference ranges and contribution of vitamin status to high serum concentrations. *Ann Intern Med* **131**, 331–339.
- Nygård O, Refsum H, Ueland PM, *et al.* (1998) Major lifestyle determinants of plasma total homocysteine distribution: the Hordaland Homocysteine Study. *Am J Clin Nutr* 67, 263–270.
- 44. Russo GT, Friso S, Jacques PF, *et al.* (2003) Age and gender affect the relation between methylenetetrahydrofolate reductase C677T genotype and fasting plasma homocysteine concentrations in the Framingham offspring study cohort. *J Nutr* **133**, 3416–3421.
- Ng X, Boyd L, Dufficy L, *et al.* (2009) Folate nutritional genetics and risk for hypertension in an elderly population sample. *J Nutrigenet Nutrigenomics* 2, 1–8.
- Quinlivan EP, McPartlin J, McNulty H, *et al.* (2002) Importance of both folic acid and vitamin B<sub>12</sub> in reduction of risk of vascular disease. *Lancet* **359**, 227–228.
- Tallova J, Bicikova M, Hill M, *et al.* (2003) Homocysteine during the menstrual cycle in depressive women. *Eur J Clin Invest* 33, 268–273.
- Rasmussen LB, Ovesen L, Bülow I, *et al.* (2000) Folate intake, lifestyle factors, and homocysteine concentrations in younger and older women. *Am J Clin Nutr* **72**, 1156–1163.
- Morris MS, Jacques PF, Selhub J, *et al.* (2000) Total homocysteine and estrogen status indicators in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey. *Am J Epidemiol* **152**, 140–148.
- Refsum H, Nurk E, Smith AD, *et al.* (2006) The Hordaland Homocysteine Study: a community-based study of homocysteine, its determinants, and associations with disease. *J Nutr* **136**, Suppl. 6, 1731S–1740S.
- Doshi SN, McDowell IFW, Moat SJ, et al. (2002) Folic acid improves endothelial function in coronary artery disease via mechanisms largely independent of homocysteine lowering. *Circulation* 105, 22–26.
- 52. Williams C, Kingwell BA, Burke K, *et al.* (2005) Folic acid supplementation for 3 wk reduces pulse pressure and large artery stiffness independent of MTHFR genotype. *Am J Clin Nutr* **82**, 26–31.
- Forman JP, Stampfer MJ & Curhan GC (2009) Diet and lifestyle risk factors associated with incident hypertension in women. *JAMA* 302, 401–411.